VISTA PUBLIC SURVEY STATUS REPORT (87th OPC MEETING)

This report has to be returned to the Observing Programmes Office of the European Southern Observatory (<u>opo@eso.org</u>) before October 31, 2010.

PROPOSAL ESO No.: 179.A-2010 TITLE: The VISTA Hemisphere Survey (VHS) PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Richard McMahon

1. Scientific Aims (brief description)

The aim of the Vista Hemisphere Survey (VHS) is to carry out a near Infra-Red survey, which when combined with other VISTA Public Surveys will result in coverage of the whole southern celestial hemisphere (~20,000 deg²) to a depth 30 times fainter than 2MASS/DENIS in at least two wavebands (J and K_s), with an exposure time of 60 seconds per waveband to produce median 5 σ point source (Vega) limits of J = 20.2 and K_s = 18.1. In the South Galactic Cap, ~5000 deg² will be imaged deeper with an exposure time of 120 seconds and also including the H band producing median 5 σ point limits of: J = 20.6; H = 19.8; K_s = 18.5. In this 5000deg² region of sky deep multi-band optical (grizY) imaging data will be provided by the Dark Energy Survey (DES). The remainder of the high galactic latitude (|b|>30°) sky will be imaged in YJHK for 60sec per band to be combined with ugriz waveband observations from the VST ATLAS survey.

The medium term scientific goals of VHS include:

- the discovery of the lowest-mass and nearest stars
- deciphering the merger history our own Galaxy via stellar galactic structure
- measurement of large-scale structure of the Universe out to z~1 and measuring the properties of Dark Energy
- discovery of the first quasars with z>7 for studies of the baryons in the intergalactic medium during the epoch of reionization

In addition the VHS survey will provide essential multi-wavelength support for the ESA Cornerstone missions; XMM-Newton, Planck, Herschel and GAIA.

2. Detailed progress report with respect to initial estimate from the Survey Management Plan (including preliminary results, whether published or not).

2.1. Progress report

ESO has taken a substantial amount of useful VHS data during Dry Run observations during Period 84 and during Period 85. Figure 1 shows the current sky coverage of the VHS survey based on all observations taken up to October 6th, 2010 and processed through the VDFS pipeline at CASU, Cambridge. All this data is available as FITS



format files for QC by the VHS team. CASU processing of VISTA data has reached a stable state and is released in monthly quanta. CASU also provide a very useful FITS format QC table that contains metadata including QC parameters for all their data products. This pipeline product with over 200 columns of metadata has been used to generate many of the plots in this report.

While the photometric and astrometric calibrated paw-print level image and catalogue products have now reached a stable state, the mosaiced tile products are still under going QC evaluation by CASU and VHS.

The calculation of the unique geometric area in each waveband for VHS is in progress using the mangle software package. For this we need to exclude OBs that do not pass the VHS QC acceptance thresholds. Currently we have a total of around 3700 tiles in Y, J, H or K which will give a total useful observed area of $\sim 1700 \text{deg}^2$ assuming a typical coverage of 1.5deg² and assuming an OB rejection rate of 10% based on QC thresholds.

With a sky coverage target of $\sim 18,000 \text{deg}^2$ (20,000 \text{deg}^2 excluding sky with $|b| < 5^\circ$ and the VVV, VMC and VIKING footprints) over 10 observing periods the current progress is not a serious cause for concern based on current analysis, especially if observing overheads can be reduced. We were allocated 410 hrs in Period 85 and 311 hrs in Period 86 compared with our nominal request of 311 hrs per period as specified in the VHS SMP.

Observing overhead concerns

The current charging for observing overheads is higher than we assumed in the VHS SMP. VHS has three survey components each with slightly different OB structure with the common theme that each OBs produces a tile with a full complement of the wavebands that have to be acquired for a tile.

- 1. VHS-GPS: J and K; 60 seconds per waveband
- 2. VHS-ATLAS: YJHK; 60 seconds per waveband
- 3. VHS-DES: JH; 120 seconds per waveband

Each VISTA tile requires 6 sparse filled pawprints. Thus the total on-sky time for the 3 components above are 360, 720 and 1080 seconds respectively. In our accepted SMP, based on information provided by ESO and the VISTA project we estimated the total elapsed time including overheads as 600, 1199 and 1491 seconds respectively. The execution time charged within P2PP for Period 85 is 829, 1510 and 1809 seconds which is an increase in the observing overheads of 4-5 minutes per OB. We also requested a change to the jitter pattern which should have reduced the overheads but this overhead reduction was not taken into account in P2PP.

We hope that the VISTA observing overheads are being investigated and will become lower in future.

Example colour-magnitude and colour-colour diagrams

Figure 2 shows colour-magnitude and colour-colour diagrams for two typical fully reduced example high galactic latitude tiles. Some examples of problem tiles identified during VHS QC are shown in Figure 3 [see also discussion in section 3]. The blue points are objects classified as stars and the grey points are objects classified in K as non-stellar.

These QC diagrams demonstrate the precision of the photometry and star-galaxy separation. The J-K-v-K stellar locus clearly delineates the distinct disk dwarf and halo giant populations which show up as two separate populations with J-K<1.0. The non-stellar objects which are mainly external galaxies have J-K>1.0. Figure 2a shows the first completed VHS OB obtained during the Dry Run observations in Nov 3rd 2009 and Figure 2b shows a more recent observation from Sep 20th, 2010.

2.2 Publications

No submitted journal publications. A publication in a refereed journal associated with the first public data release is planned.



Blue points are starlike objects; Grey points are non-stellar objects.







Figure 3(b): QC problem showing multiple offset stellar loci due to variable seeing causing spatially dependent aperture corrections in different pawprints.

3. Quality Control and Advanced Data Products. The advanced data product submission plan should be described here. In addition the PI should comment on Quality Control on the acquired data. In particular, do the acquired data meet the survey requirements including image quality, target limiting flux, sky subtraction filters?

Robust quantitative quality control processes for VHS are still under development and we are working closely with the VDFS pipeline team at CASU in Cambridge to develop routine automated machine learning based techniques such as decision tree based QC techniques. A wide range of diagnostic plots is being produced following the plan outlined in the VHS SMP.

Colour-magnitude and colour-colour plots as shown in Figure 2 and 3 are being produced for all paw-print and tile bandmerged catalogues. Figure 2 shows typical examples of data that passes our QC. Figure 3 shows two examples of data which have been identified as failing our QC procedures. In both case the pawprint level products pass QC and these are ready for delivery as advanced data products to ESO. However there are problems with the mosaiced tiles that most likely are caused by seeing variations between paw-prints. A pipeline improvement to attempt to robustly deal with this issue is under development at CASU.

Figure 4 shows the distributions of the image quality in all wavebands for all VHS observations. This plots contain repeat OBs and hence although the median value will be robust, poor quality data will be over represented since some OBs have been repeated. Figure 4(a) shows the measured seeing(FWHM) for stellar objects and Figure 4(b) shows the image ellipticity distribution. Visual inspection of the images with ellipticity > 0.15 is in progress. Some have double images whereas some may still be useable. The medians of the seeing distributions show a wavelength dependence increasing from 1.03 arc seconds in K_S to 1.14 arc seconds in J. The ratio of 1.11 is consistent with a Kolmogorov $\lambda^{-1/5}$ wavelength dependence assuming a effective wavelengths of 2.149µm for K_S and 1.254µm for J.

The seeing distributions in Y and J are also significantly broader than H and K with ranges between the 10 and 90 percentiles of 0.79, 0.71, 0.59 and 0.60 arc seconds respectively. In the case of the Y band data 20% is outside our minimum requirement limit of 1.4". In J, 15% are outside this seeing requirement limit whereas for H and K it is 10%.

The median airmass shown in Figure 5 for all wavebands is similar and in the range 1.27(K) to 1.35(Y) and hence this is should not be causing any trend with wavelength. Further analysis is required in order to determine whether any change in observational strategy is required; e.g. increasing the Y and J exposures since the poorer IQ will effect the limiting magnitudes. It is possible that increasing the AO priority would improve the seeing. This would increase observing overheads by ~10% but this would be balanced by better quality data.

Figure 6 shows the distribution of the World Cooordinate System (WCS) rms astrometric errors derived from 2MASS. The J and K bands have a tail to smaller

values compared to Y and H since there are J and K observations in regions of higher stellar density at lower galactic latitude.

Figure 7 shows the measured sky brightness on all VHS tiles for Period 85. Note the tail to bright magnitudes that effects 5% of observations. This is probably due to scattered moonlight when cirrus is present. The median measured values are 17.0, 15.8, 13.9 and 13.1 respectively. The values that were assumed based on the VISTA ETC in the VHS SMP were 17.2, 16.0, 14.1 and 13.0. Therefore the median measured sky is +0.2 magnitudes brighter in Y, J and H and 0.1 magnitudes fainter in K. The brighter values in Y, J and H may be due to observations taking place too close to evening twilight. This well know issue was discussed in the VHS SMP but the ESO observing procedures would not allow a twilight avoidance condition. This has now been added to P2PP and we use it in Period 86.

Figure 8 shows the measured zero-point on tiles for all Period 85 VHS observations based on photometric calibration using 2MASS. There is a tail to bright magnitude where 10% have relative attenuation >0.2magnitudes which is outside the ESO THIN constraint. The tail is worse in Y but this may be due to the known degradation in the VISTA system throughput since the primary mirror was coated in September 2009.

Figure 9 shows the computed 5sigma point source limiting magnitudes for the 3 VHS survey components. Note the VHS DES component has exposure times of 120 seconds per band compared to 60 seconds for the other two components; GPS and ATLAS. Despite the inclusion of some sub-standard data in these distributions, the K_s limiting magnitudes VHS survey goals. However, the Y and J band data has median limits that are ~0.5 brighter than our goals. This is a combination of poorer than expected IQ and brighter sky brightness. We will return to this issue in Section 4.

The astrometric and photometric calibrated VHS paw-print catalogues and images and ancillary calibration frames for observations obtained up-to the end of the Dry Run period are currently ready for delivery and we expect that we can start to formally deliver data products early in 2011. The details of the ESO delivery procedures will be presented by ESO at a workshop in Garching on Nov 30th, 2010. CASU already has 4 years of experience in the delivery of WFCAM data to ESO and we assume that a similar internet based automated techniques can be used for VHS advanced data products.

4. Are any changes proposed with respect to the Survey Management Plan in P87 (e.g., in strategy, targets, exposure time and/or other settings)? If yes, please provide a clear and detailed justification.

We concerned that we are not meeting our limiting magnitude goals in the shorter wavelength bands especially J in VHS-DES and in both Y and J in VHS-ATLAS as described in section 3. This is likely to be combination of poorer than expected IQ and the brighter measured sky brightness particularly in Y and J. The use of the new twilight constraint in P2PP may improve the situation. We would also like to experiment with the AO priority to see if this helps although it would increase our overheads by 10%.

Another option is that we redistribute observing time from the redder bands to the bluer wavebands. e.g. in VHS-DES we change from 120 seconds in J, H and K_S by reducing the K_S from 120 seconds to 60 seconds, leave H unchanged and increase J from 120seconds to 180 seconds so that the relative depths is closer to our goal. The J band is important for photometric redshifts at redshifts less than 1.5 since J is above the rest frame 4000Å break. Deeper J is also important for the L dwarf and high redshift quasar science goals. The scientific trade-offs are currently being evaluated by the VHS team. A exposure time redistribution between the wavebands should not require any additional observing time or increase the overheads.

5. Observing Plan for Period 87. Specify which part of the Survey Management Plan (SMP) the survey will focus on in P87 in the 1st column. If changes are foreseen in P87, please specify details of the observing strategy in the table and provide a full justification in Section 4 above.

SMP Period	Field name/ mean RA	Filter	Time (h)	Seeing	Moon	Transpar ency	Comments / strategy (e.g., no. of epochs)
P87	18hr	Y,J, H,K	311	<1.4	any	thin	



















000